



TITLE:

Weak solutions to the time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau-Maxwell equations (Regularity and Singularity for Partial Differential Equations with Conservation Laws)

AUTHOR(S):

Fan, Jishan; Ozawa, Tohru

CITATION:

Fan, Jishan ...[et al]. Weak solutions to the time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau-Maxwell equations (Regularity and Singularity for Partial Differential Equations with Conservation Laws). 数理解析研究所講究録別冊 2017, B63: 23-30

ISSUE DATE:

2017-05

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/243647>

RIGHT:

© 2017 by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University. All rights reserved.

Weak solutions to the time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau-Maxwell equations

By

Jishan FAN* and Tohru OZAWA**

Abstract

We first prove the existence and uniqueness of global weak solutions to the 3D time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau-Maxwell equations with the Coulomb gauge. Then, we obtain uniform bounds of solutions with respect to the dielectric constant $\epsilon > 0$. Consequently, the existence of global weak solutions to the Ginzburg-Landau equations follows by a compactness argument.

§ 1. Introduction

We consider the 3D time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau-Maxwell system in superconductivity [1, 2]:

$$(1.1) \quad \eta \partial_t \psi + i\eta \kappa \phi \psi + \left(\frac{i}{\kappa} \nabla + A \right)^2 \psi + (|\psi|^2 - 1)\psi = 0,$$

$$(1.2) \quad \epsilon(\partial_t^2 A + \partial_t \nabla \phi) + \partial_t A + \nabla \phi + \operatorname{rot}^2 A + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(\frac{i}{\kappa} \nabla \psi + \psi A \right) \overline{\psi} \right\} = 0,$$

in $Q_T := (0, T) \times \Omega$, with boundary and initial conditions

$$(1.3) \quad \nabla \psi \cdot \nu = 0, \quad A \cdot \nu = 0, \quad \operatorname{rot} A \times \nu = 0, \quad \nabla \phi \cdot \nu = 0 \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega,$$

$$(1.4) \quad (\psi, A, \partial_t A, \phi)(0, \cdot) = (\psi_0, A_0, \tilde{A}_0, \phi_0)(\cdot) \quad \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Here, the unknowns ψ , A , and ϕ are \mathbb{C} -valued, \mathbb{R}^d -valued, and \mathbb{R} -valued functions, respectively, and they stand for the order parameter, the magnetic potential, and the

Received October 27, 2015. Revised March 4, 2016.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification(s): 35A05, 35A40, 82D55

Key Words: Uniqueness, weak solutions, superconductivity, Coulomb gauge.

*Department of Applied Mathematics, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing 210037, P. R. China.

e-mail: fanjishan@njfu.edu.cn

**Department of Applied Physics, Waseda University, Tokyo, 169-8555, Japan.

e-mail: txozawa@waseda.jp

electric potential, respectively. η and κ are Ginzburg-Landau positive constants, ϵ is the dielectric constant and is supposedly very small in superconductors, and $i := \sqrt{-1}$. Ω is a simply connected and bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and ν is the outward unit normal to $\partial\Omega$. $\bar{\psi}$ denotes the complex conjugate of ψ , $\operatorname{Re}\psi := (\psi + \bar{\psi})/2$ is the real part of ψ and $|\psi|^2 := \psi\bar{\psi}$ is the density of superconductivity carriers. T is any given positive constant.

It is well-known that the Ginzburg-Landau-Maxwell system is gauge invariant, that is, if (ψ, A, ϕ) is a solution of (1.1)-(1.4), then for any real valued smooth function χ , $(\psi e^{i\kappa\chi}, A + \nabla\chi, \phi - \partial_t\chi)$ is also a solution of (1.1)-(1.4). So in order to obtain the well-posedness of the problem, we need to impose the gauge condition. From physical point of view, one usually has four types of the gauge condition:

- (1) Coulomb gauge: $\operatorname{div} A = 0$ in Ω and $\int_{\Omega} \phi dx = 0$.
- (2) Lorentz gauge: $\phi = -\operatorname{div} A$ in Ω .
- (3) Lorenz gauge: $\partial_t\phi = -\operatorname{div} A$ in Ω .
- (4) Temporal gauge: $\phi = 0$ in Ω .

In 1999, Tsutsumi and Kasai [3] proved the existence and uniqueness of global weak solutions to the problem with the Coulomb gauge under the assumption that $\psi_0 \in H^1 \cap L^\infty$, $A_0 \in H^1$, $\tilde{A}_0 \in L^2$, and $\phi_0 \in H^1$.

The first aim of this paper is to generalize the above result under a weaker assumption on the initial data ψ_0 . We will prove

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $\psi_0 \in L^\infty \cap W^{\frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{2}}$ with $|\psi_0| \leq 1$, $\operatorname{div} A_0 = 0$, $A_0 \in H^1$, $\tilde{A}_0 \in L^2$, and $\phi_0 \in H^1$. Then for any $T > 0$ there exists a unique weak solution (ψ, A, ϕ) of (1.1)-(1.4) with Coulomb gauge satisfying*

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} &|\psi| \leq 1 \text{ in } Q_T, \quad \psi \in L^3(0, T; W^{1,3}) \cap L^{\frac{3}{2}}(0, T; W^{2, \frac{3}{2}}), \quad \partial_t\psi \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(Q_T), \\ &A \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1), \quad \partial_t A \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2), \\ &\phi \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1), \quad \partial_t\phi \in L^2(0, T; H^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Remark. Our proof is different from that of [3]. Our key estimate is to obtain $W_{3/2}^{2,1}$ estimates of ψ (L^p theory), while their proof in [3] is to get $W_2^{2,1}$ estimates of ψ (L^2 theory). Thus our assumption on the initial data ψ_0 is weaker than that in [3].

Remark. When $\psi_0 \in H^1$, $\operatorname{div} A_0 = 0$, $A_0 \in H^1$, $\tilde{A}_0 \in L^2$, and $\phi_0 \in H^1$, we can prove a similar result. Here we do not suppose that $|\psi_0| \leq 1$ and the key estimate is to ensure that $\psi \in L^2(0, T; H^2)$, which can be proved easily.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that $\psi_0 \in L^4$, $\operatorname{div} A_0 = 0$, $A_0 \in H^1$, $\tilde{A}_0 \in L^2$, and $\phi_0 \in H^1$. Then for any $T > 0$ there exists at least one weak solution (ψ, A, ϕ) of (1.1)-(1.4)*

satisfying

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^4) \cap L^6(Q_T) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1), \partial_t \psi \in L^2(0, T; H^{-1}), \\ A &\in L^\infty(0, T; H^1), \partial_t A \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2), \\ \phi &\in L^\infty(0, T; H^1), \partial_t \phi \in L^2(0, T; H^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. We will prove

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that $0 < \epsilon < 1$, $\psi_0 \in H^1 \cap L^\infty$ with $|\psi_0| \leq 1$, $\operatorname{div} A_0 = 0$, $A_0 \in H^1$, $\tilde{A}_0 \in L^2$, and $\phi_0 \in H^1$. Then for any $T > 0$ there exists a unique weak solution $(\psi_\epsilon, A_\epsilon, \phi_\epsilon)$ of (1.1)-(1.4) satisfying*

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |\psi_\epsilon| &\leq 1 \text{ in } Q_T, \quad \|\psi_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T; H^1)} \leq C, \quad \|\partial_t \psi_\epsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2)} \leq C, \\ \|A_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T; H^1)} &\leq C, \quad \|\partial_t A_\epsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2)} \leq C, \\ \|\phi_\epsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; H^1)} &\leq C \end{aligned}$$

where C is independent of $\epsilon > 0$.

Remark. As soon as the uniform a priori estimates with respect to $\epsilon > 0$ such as (1.7) are established, the standard compactness arguments show the existence of a convergent subsequence $\{\epsilon_j\} \subset (0, 1) \rightarrow (\psi_{\epsilon_j}, A_{\epsilon_j}, \phi_{\epsilon_j})$ with $\epsilon_1 > \epsilon_2 > \dots > \epsilon_j \downarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for (1.1)-(1.4). When $\epsilon = 0$, the Ginzburg-Landau-Maxwell system reduces to the well-known Ginzburg-Landau equations, which have received many studies [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12].

We will use the notation $W_p^{2,1} := \{ f \mid f, \nabla f, \nabla^2 f, \partial_t f \in L^p(\Omega \times (0, T)) \}$.

§ 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. To prove the existence part, we only need to prove a priori estimates (1.5).

To begin with, it is easy to infer that [3]:

$$(2.1) \quad |\psi| \leq 1 \text{ in } Q_T.$$

Multiplying (1.1) by $\bar{\psi}$ and integrating parts, then taking the real part, we see that

$$\frac{\eta}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\psi|^2 dx + \int \left| \frac{i}{\kappa} \nabla \psi + \psi A \right|^2 dx + \int |\psi|^4 dx = \int |\psi|^2 dx,$$

which gives

$$(2.2) \quad \int_0^T \int \left| \frac{i}{\kappa} \nabla \psi + \psi A \right|^2 dx dt \leq C.$$

Here and hereafter C will be a constant which may depend on T .

Testing (1.2) by $\partial_t A + \nabla \phi$ and using (2.1) and (2.2), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (\epsilon |\partial_t A|^2 + \epsilon |\nabla \phi|^2 + |\operatorname{rot} A|^2) dx + \int (|\partial_t A|^2 + |\nabla \phi|^2) dx \\
 &= - \int \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(\frac{i}{\kappa} \nabla \psi + \psi A \right) \bar{\psi} \right\} (\partial_t A + \nabla \phi) dx \\
 (2.3) \quad & \leq \left\| \frac{i}{\kappa} \nabla \psi + \psi A \right\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t A + \nabla \phi\|_{L^2},
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$(2.4) \quad \|\partial_t A\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)} \leq C, \quad \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^1)} \leq C,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \|A\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^1)} \leq C,$$

where we have used the well-known Poincaré inequalities

$$(2.6) \quad \|\phi\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2}, \quad \|A\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\operatorname{rot} A\|_{L^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\operatorname{rot} A\|_{L^2} = \|\nabla A\|_{L^2}.$$

Inequalities (2.1), (2.2) and (2.5) lead to

$$(2.7) \quad \|\psi\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1)} \leq C.$$

Taking div to (1.2), we deduce that

$$(2.8) \quad \epsilon \partial_t \Delta \phi + \Delta \phi = -\operatorname{div} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(\frac{i}{\kappa} \nabla \psi + \psi A \right) \bar{\psi} \right\}.$$

It follows from (2.1), (2.2), (2.4), and (2.8) that

$$(2.9) \quad \|\partial_t \phi\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{-2})} \leq C.$$

Equation (1.1) can be rewritten as

$$(2.10) \quad \eta \partial_t \psi - \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \Delta \psi = f := -i\eta \kappa \phi \psi - \frac{2i}{\kappa} A \nabla \psi - |A|^2 \psi - (|\psi|^2 - 1)\psi.$$

By the $W_p^{2,1}$ regularity theory of the heat equation [13], we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^T \|\partial_t \psi\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{\frac{3}{2}} dt + \int_0^T \|\psi\|_{W^{2,\frac{3}{2}}}^{\frac{3}{2}} dt \\
 & \leq C \int_0^T \|f\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{\frac{3}{2}} dt + C \|\psi_0\|_{W^{\frac{2}{3},\frac{3}{2}}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
 & \leq C + C \int_0^T \|\phi\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{\frac{3}{2}} dt + C \int_0^T \|A\|_{L^6}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} dt \\
 (2.11) \quad & \leq C.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality

$$(2.12) \quad \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^3}^2 \leq C \|\psi\|_{L^\infty} \|\psi\|_{W^{2,\frac{3}{2}}},$$

we get

$$(2.13) \quad \|\psi\|_{L^3(0,T;W^{1,3})} \leq C.$$

This completes the proof of (1.5). Now we prove the uniqueness. Let (ψ_j, A_j, ϕ_j) ($j = 1, 2$) be two weak solutions to (1.1)-(1.4).

We set $\psi := \psi_1 - \psi_2$, $A := A_1 - A_2$ and $\phi := \phi_1 - \phi_2$. It is straightforward to infer that

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \eta \partial_t \psi + i\eta \kappa \phi_1 \psi + i\eta \kappa \phi \psi_2 - \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \Delta \psi + \frac{2i}{\kappa} A_1 \nabla \psi + \frac{2i}{\kappa} A \nabla \psi_2 + A_1^2 \psi \\ & + (A_1 + A_2) A \psi_2 + |\psi_1|^2 \psi + (|\psi_1|^2 - |\psi_2|^2) \psi_2 - \psi = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \epsilon (\partial_t^2 A + \partial_t \nabla \phi) + \partial_t A + \nabla \phi + \text{rot}^2 A \\ & + \text{Re} \left\{ \left(\frac{i}{\kappa} \nabla \psi_1 + \psi_1 A_1 \right) \bar{\psi} + \left(\frac{i}{\kappa} \nabla \psi + A_1 \psi + A \psi_2 \right) \bar{\psi}_2 \right\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (2.14) by $\bar{\psi}$, integrating by parts, and taking the real part of the resulting equality, we estimate

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\eta}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\psi|^2 dx + \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int |\nabla \psi|^2 dx \\ & \leq \eta \kappa \int |\phi| |\psi| |\psi_2| dx + \frac{2}{\kappa} \int |A_1 \nabla \psi \bar{\psi}| dx + \frac{2}{\kappa} \int |A \nabla \psi_2 \bar{\psi}| dx \\ & \quad + \int |(A_1 + A_2) A \psi_2 \bar{\psi}| dx + \int (|\psi_1| + |\psi_2|) |\psi|^2 |\psi_2| dx + \int |\psi|^2 dx \\ & \leq C \int |\phi| |\psi| dx + C \|A_1\|_{L^6} \|\psi\|_{L^3} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla \psi_2\|_{L^2} \|A\|_{L^6} \|\psi\|_{L^3} \\ & \quad + C \|A_1 + A_2\|_{L^6} \|\psi_2\|_{L^\infty} \|A\|_{L^3} \|\psi\|_{L^2} \\ & \quad + C (\|\psi_1\|_{L^\infty} + \|\psi_2\|_{L^\infty}) \|\psi_2\|_{L^\infty} \|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq C \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\psi\|_{L^3} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \\ & \quad + C \|\nabla \psi_2\|_{L^2} \|A\|_{L^6} \|\psi\|_{L^3} + C \|A\|_{L^3} \|\psi\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \psi_2\|_{L^2}^2 \|A\|_{H^1}^2 + C \|A\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{1}{8\kappa^2} \int |\nabla \psi|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Testing (2.15) by $\partial_t A + \nabla \phi$, using (2.1), (2.5), the Hölder inequality and Young

inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\epsilon}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (|\partial_t A|^2 + |\nabla \phi|^2) dx + \int (|\partial_t A|^2 + |\nabla \phi|^2) dx + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\operatorname{rot} A|^2 dx \\
& \leq \int \left| \frac{i}{\kappa} \nabla \psi_1 + \psi_1 A_1 \right| |\psi| |\partial_t A + \nabla \phi| dx \\
& \quad + \int \left| \frac{i}{\kappa} \nabla \psi + A_1 \psi + A \psi_2 \right| |\partial_t A + \nabla \phi| dx \\
& \leq C \|\nabla \psi_1\|_{L^3} \|\psi\|_{L^6} \|\partial_t A + \nabla \phi\|_{L^2} + C \|A_1\|_{L^6} \|\psi\|_{L^3} \|\partial_t A + \nabla \phi\|_{L^2} \\
& \quad + C (\|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2} + \|A_1\|_{L^3} \|\psi\|_{L^6} + \|A\|_{L^2}) \|\partial_t A + \nabla \phi\|_{L^2} \\
(2.17) \quad & \leq \frac{1}{8\kappa^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1 + \|\nabla \psi_1\|_{L^3}^2) (\|\partial_t A\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& \quad + C \|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|A\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up (2.16) and (2.17) and using the Gronwall inequality, we arrive at

$$\psi = 0, A = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi = 0.$$

This completes the proof. □

§ 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We only need to prove a priori estimates (1.6). Multiplying (1.1) by $|\psi|^2 \overline{\psi}$, integrating by parts, and taking the real part of the resulting equality, we observe that

$$\frac{\eta}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\psi|^4 dx + \int \left| \frac{i}{\kappa} \nabla \psi + \psi A \right|^2 |\psi|^2 dx + \int |\psi|^6 dx = \int |\psi|^4 dx,$$

which gives

$$(3.1) \quad \psi \in L^\infty(0, T; L^4) \cap L^6(Q_T),$$

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^T \int \left| \frac{i}{\kappa} \nabla \psi + \psi A \right|^2 |\psi|^2 dx dt \leq C.$$

Similarly to (2.3), using (3.2), we still have (2.4) and (2.5).

Finally, we still have (2.7) and (2.9).

This completes the proof. □

§ 4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.3. Since it has been proved that the problem (1.1)-(1.4) has a unique global weak solution [3], we only need to prove the

a priori estimates (1.7). From now on, we drop the subscript ϵ for simplicity. In the following calculations, we need to keep track of the independence of ϵ on constants C .

First, we still have (2.1).

It is well-known that the Ginzburg-Landau free energy given by [2]:

$$G(\psi, A, \phi) := \frac{1}{2} \int \left(\left| \frac{i}{\kappa} \nabla \psi + \psi A \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (|\psi|^2 - 1)^2 + |\operatorname{rot} A|^2 + \epsilon (|\partial_t A|^2 + |\nabla \phi|^2) \right) dx$$

satisfies

$$\frac{dG}{dt} = - \int (\eta |\partial_t \psi + i\kappa \phi \psi|^2 + |\partial_t A|^2 + |\nabla \phi|^2) dx \leq 0,$$

whence

$$(4.1) \quad G(\psi, A, \phi) \leq C, \quad \int_0^T \int (|\partial_t \psi + i\kappa \phi \psi|^2 + |\partial_t A|^2 + |\nabla \phi|^2) dx dt \leq C.$$

Inequalities (2.1), (4.1) and (2.6) easily lead to (1.7). This completes the proof. \square

§ 5. Acknowledgments

The authors are indebted to the referee for careful reading of the paper. J. Fan is partially supported by NSFC (No. 11171154).

References

- [1] L. P. Gor'kov, G. M. Eliashberg, Generalization of Ginzburg-Landau equations for non-stationary problems in the case of alloys with paramagnetic impurities, Soviet Phys. JEPT 27(1968) 328-334.
- [2] A. Schmid, A time dependent Ginzburg-Landau equation and its application to the problem of resistivity in the mixed state, Physik der Kondensierten Materie 5(1966) 302-317.
- [3] M. Tsutsumi, H. Kasai, The time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau-Maxwell equations, Non-linear Analysis 37(1999) 187-216.
- [4] Z. M. Chen, C. Elliott, Q. Tang, Justification of a two-dimensional evolutionary Ginzburg-Landau superconductivity model, RAIRO Model. Math. Anal. Numer. 32(1)(1998) 25-50.
- [5] Z. M. Chen, K. H. Hoffman, J. Liang, On a nonstationary Ginzburg-Landau superconductivity model, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 16(12)(1993) 855-875.
- [6] Q. Du, Global existence and uniqueness of solutions of the time dependent Ginzburg-Landau model for superconductivity, Applicable Anal. 53(1-2)(1994) 1-17.
- [7] J. Fan, T. Ozawa, Global strong solutions of the time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau model for superconductivity with a new gauge, Int. J. Math. Anal. 6(3)(2012)1679-1684.
- [8] Q. Tang, On an evolutionary system of Ginzburg-Landau equations with fixed total magnetic flux, Commun. PDE 20(1-2)(1995) 1-36.

- [9] Q. Tang, S. Wang, Time dependent Ginzburg-Landau equations of superconductivity, *Physica D* 88(3-4)(1995) 139-166.
- [10] J. Fan, S. Jiang, Global existence of weak solutions of a time-dependent 3-D Ginzburg-Landau model, *Appl. Math. Letters* 16(3)(2003) 435-440.
- [11] J. Fan, H. Gao, B. Guo, Uniqueness of weak solutions to the 3D Ginzburg-Landau superconductivity model, *Int. Math. Res. Notices* 2015(5)(2015) 1239-1246.
- [12] J. Fan, G. Ni, Uniform existence for a 3D time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau model in superconductivity, *Appl. Math. Letters* 26(8)(2013) 814-819.
- [13] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, V. A. Solonnikov, N. N. Ural'ceva, Linear and quasi-linear equations of parabolic type, Translated from the Russian by S. Smith, 1967, American Mathematical Society.